

BRAINY SOFT SKILLS → NEXT EVOLUTION

THE TRIBUNAL.

*Three expert minds. One XR room.
No escape route.*

*The only AI learning environment where defending your thinking
under simultaneous multi-expert pressure IS the product.*

EON REALITY · EON AI VENTURES

3

Expert avatar frameworks

Simultaneously in the room

0

Ways to cheat with ChatGPT

Real-time XR voice — no paste

10x

Pressure vs. single avatar

Multi-framework adversarial

WHAT EXISTS TODAY → WHAT THE TRIBUNAL ADDS

BRAINY MULTI-AVATAR SOFT SKILLS — TODAY	→	THE TRIBUNAL — NEW
Behavioral — how you communicate	Core challenge	Intellectual — how you think and defend ideas
Characters in a scenario (client, boss)	Avatar role	Expert frameworks (economist, psychologist, pragmatist)
Emotional & interpersonal	Pressure type	Simultaneous multi-framework adversarial challenge
Text-based fallback possible	Can be cheated?	Real-time XR voice — no ChatGPT window can help
Communication & conflict navigation	What improves	Strategic thinking under expert cross-examination
Behavioral competency score	Output	Verified reasoning quality — boardroom-ready credential

Both products co-exist. The Tribunal is not a replacement — it is the next level of challenge that behavioral confidence earns the right to enter.

HOW THE TRIBUNAL WORKS: ONE LEARNER - THREE EXPERT MINDS - ONE XR ROOM

Avatar 1

The Economist

"Show me the numbers. What's the measured ROI? What's the baseline? Where's the data?"

Data-driven. Skeptical of anything unmeasured. Pushes for empirical proof on every claim.

THE LEARNER

Presents position
Defends under
simultaneous pressure

Avatar 3

The Pragmatist

"Interesting theory. Who runs it on Monday morning? What breaks first? Who owns the problem?"

Operationally ruthless. Doesn't care about theory. Only what works at ground level next week.

Avatar 2

The Psychologist

"Will people actually change? What are the adoption barriers? Culture isn't a spreadsheet."

Human behavior focused. Questions whether ideas survive contact with real people and real resistance.

The learner cannot satisfy all three with one answer. They must navigate genuinely competing expert frameworks in real time — in XR, by voice, with no external AI assistance possible.

THE FATAL FLAW OF EVERY OTHER APPROACH — AND WHY TRIBUNAL SOLVES IT

Every Text/Voice AI Tutor Has This Problem

✗ Columbia's Caisey

Student pastes the case study prompt into ChatGPT in an adjacent browser tab. Gets the argument. Feeds it back.

✗ Georgia Tech Smart Tutor

Student photographs the circuit problem, uploads to ChatGPT Vision. Gets the walkthrough. Types it in.

✗ Standard LMS Socratic

Any text-based Socratic tutor can be bypassed in 30 seconds. The friction is surface-level.

✗ Single-avatar Brainy

Voice interaction helps, but a prepared learner with a ChatGPT tab open can still script their responses.

VS

Why The Tribunal Cannot Be Cheated

✓ Real-time XR voice, not text

No paste, no upload, no copy. The learner is speaking in a 3D room. There is no text field to fill.

✓ Dynamic multi-avatar response

Three avatars respond to what was said 8 seconds ago. No pre-scripted answer survives contact with simultaneous cross-examination.

✓ Framework collision

Economist and psychologist may contradict each other. The learner must resolve the contradiction live. No AI can pre-generate that resolution.

✓ Micro-adaptation

Avatars detect hesitation, overconfidence, deflection, and adjust pressure in real time. No script anticipates this.

The Washington Post article states the problem directly: students ask AI to answer AI tutors. The Tribunal is the first design that makes this structurally impossible — not through policy, but through the nature of the medium itself.

THREE HIGH-VALUE USE CASES — WHERE TRIBUNAL WINS THE ROOM

01

Enterprise Board Preparation

CHROs · Strategy teams · Senior leaders

The Scenario:

A leader is about to present an AI investment proposal to the board. Three avatars — CFO framework (ROI skeptic), COO framework (operational realist), CHRO framework (change management) — cross-examine the proposal simultaneously. The leader cannot leave the room until they can survive all three.

Before The Tribunal: confident but one-dimensional. After: board-ready, battle-tested, every objection pre-answered.

OUTPUT

Boardroom-Ready Credential

02

Academic Case Study Mastery

Business schools · MBA programs · Professional faculties

The Scenario:

Columbia Business School's Dan Wang built Caisey to prepare students for case discussions. The Tribunal takes it further: three faculty-infused avatars with genuinely different intellectual traditions challenge the student's position simultaneously. The Oxbridge tutorial — at scale, uncheateable, inside XR.

Not 'I completed the module.' But 'I survived three expert frameworks arguing against me at once.' That's a credential that means something.

OUTPUT

Verified Academic Reasoner

03

AI Builder Final Assessment

EON AI Fluency Segment · Segment 18 Group E

The Scenario:

The final assessment for the AI Architecture group is not a test. It is a Tribunal. Three avatars — a token economist, a human factors expert, and an operational deployment specialist — challenge the learner's AI deployment strategy simultaneously. Pass the Tribunal. Earn the AI Builder credential.

This is the certification employers trust. Not because it was issued — because it was earned under conditions that cannot be fabricated.

OUTPUT

Verified AI Builder Credential

PRODUCT BRIEF: WHAT EON HAS BUILT

1

Multi-Framework Avatar Engine

Extend the existing multi-avatar system so each avatar carries a distinct intellectual framework — not just a personality or role, but a coherent expert worldview with specific questioning logic, challenge vocabulary, and pressure escalation when claims are weak.

2

Framework Collision Detection

When Avatar 1 and Avatar 2 make contradictory demands, the system must surface the contradiction explicitly to the learner — forcing them to resolve competing expert views rather than satisfying each one independently.

3

Reasoning Quality Scoring

Build a backend scoring layer that evaluates not whether the learner gave the right answer, but how they navigated pressure — did they revise under challenge? Did they address counterarguments or deflect? Did reasoning complexity increase across the session?

4

Faculty DNA Capture Protocol

A structured interview + knowledge extraction process that converts any expert's mental models, preferred contradictions, and signature questions into a custom Tribunal avatar persona. This is how Aramco's master engineer, ExxonMobil's safety chief, or any faculty member becomes infinitely scalable.

Deployment Roadmap

Phase 1

Pilot — 3 Avatars

30
days

Deploy Tribunal with economist / psychologist / pragmatist as the three default frameworks. Run with 3 enterprise clients and 2 university partners. Measure reasoning quality scores vs. single-avatar baseline.

Phase 2

Faculty DNA Capture

60
days

Build and deploy the expert knowledge extraction protocol. First five: Aramco safety chief, ExxonMobil operations lead, UP India curriculum head, Columbia Business, Georgia Tech.

Phase 3

AI Builder Tribunal

90
days

Deploy as the final assessment gate for Segment 18 Group E. The credential that proves AI Builder status. Announced as part of the Token Economy campaign.

BRAINY SOFT SKILLS MEETS THE TRIBUNAL.

*Behavioral confidence gets you into the room.
The Tribunal decides if you're ready to lead it.*

Today

Multi-Avatar Soft Skills

Behavioral · Communication · Confidence

Next

The Tribunal

Intellectual · Adversarial · Uncheateable

Outcome

The AI Builder Credential

Earned under pressure · Trusted by employers

*"Three expert minds. One XR room. No escape route.
The only credential that proves you can think under pressure."*

EON Reality · EON AI Ventures · eonreality.com/virtual-campus



EON AI Ventures

BRIEF JAN
eon product sprint
April 2026

eon.com/tribunal →